

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE . FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 10/014,392 10/22/2001 18504/333 1059 Johannes J. Verboom **EXAMINER** 7590 06/01/2005 Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP TORRES, JOSEPH D **Suite 3300** PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 45 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-1609 2133

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/014,392	VERBOOM, JO	VERBOOM, JOHANNES J.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Joseph D. Torres	2133	2 4		

Refere the Filing of an Anneal Brief			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	2 45
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL  1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>		ust be filed within one	of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	ecause
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	` ''	maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>nc</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-4449 Paper N	o(s)	
13.  Other:	///////////////////////////////////////		
JOS PAIM,	EPH TORRES PRY EXAMINER	Joseph D. Torres, F Primary Examiner	PhD
7/		Art Unit: 2133	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050525

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly proposed amended claims contain amended language not previously considered in a prior Office Action..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Newly proposed amended claims contain amended language not previously considered in a prior Office Action.